1 2 3 4 5	Jeffrey L. Kessler (pro hac vice) JKessler@winston.com Sofia Arguello (pro hac vice) SArguello@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-4193 Telephone: (212) 294-6700 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700	
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li><li>8</li><li>9</li></ul>	Jeanifer E. Parsigian (SBN: 289001) JParsigian@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 101 California Street, 34th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-1000 Facsimile: (415) 591-1400	
10 11 12	Attorneys for Non-Party ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTE	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
16		
17 18	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD  DECLARATION OF PAGE ROBINSON
19	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
20	Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD	CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED
21	In no Cooole Plan Congumen Antitrust Litie	The Honorable James Donato
22	In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litig., Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD	The Honorable James Donato
23		The Honorable James Donato
23 24 25	Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD  State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al.,	The Honorable James Donato
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD  State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD  Match Group, LLC et al. v. Google LLC et al.,	The Honorable James Donato
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD  State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD  Match Group, LLC et al. v. Google LLC et al.,	The Honorable James Donato

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

## I, Page Robinson, declare as follows:

- I am the Senior Director of Litigation and Intellectual Property for Activision Blizzard, 1. Inc. ("Activision Blizzard"). I joined Activision Blizzard in 2022. I make this Declaration on my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the matters set forth herein.
- 2. In my current role at Activision Blizzard, I am responsible for litigation and intellectual property matters, including overseeing all pending and threatened litigation, IP licensing, and related strategic decisions, and advising Activision Blizzard on such matters. Activision Blizzard keeps such information confidential to protect itself and its partners from potential harm.
- 3. I understand that, in the above-captioned actions, Plaintiffs filed the Declaration of Lauren A. Moskowitz in support of its Proposed Remedy re Google's Destruction of Chat Evidence, as well as certain exhibits to such Declaration ("Plaintiffs' Filings"). See ECF No. 608.<sup>1</sup>
- I understand that Plaintiffs' Filings include "documents designated by Google . . . as 4. 'CONFIDENTIAL', 'HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY' or 'NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY' pursuant to the applicable protective order," and that, accordingly, Plaintiffs have filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed ("Sealing Motion"). See ECF No. 609 (citing ECF No. 249). More specifically, I understand from Google counsel that Plaintiffs' Filings include Activision Blizzard information that is designated "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the applicable protective order.<sup>2</sup> I write this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Sealing Motion insofar as it relates to the Activision Blizzard information incorporated into Plaintiffs' Filings and as described in greater

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The referenced ECF numbers correspond to Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In submitting this Declaration, Activision Blizzard—a non-party to this case that therefore is not privy to discovery in the matter—is reliant on the parties' counsel to identify references to Activision Blizzard confidential information that warrants sealing. In submitting this Declaration, Activision Blizzard relied on correspondence from Google counsel. Activision Blizzard reserves the right to supplement this Declaration or submit a new declaration if it learns that other confidential information of Activision Blizzard was included in Plaintiffs' Filings but omitted from Google counsel's correspondence.

detail below.

- 5. I understand that, where documents are "more than tangentially related to the merits of a case," this Court requires a party to establish "compelling reasons" for sealing. *See Connor v. Quora, Inc.*, No. 18-cv-07597, 2020 WL 7408233, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 15, 2020) (citations and internal quotation marks omitted). In contrast, this Court requires a "lesser showing of 'good cause'" for "[f]ilings that are only tangentially related to the merits." *See id.* (citation omitted). I also understand that where a non-party seeks to preserve the confidentiality of its information by filing a declaration in support of a party's sealing motion, one of these standards will apply, depending on the relationship of the party's underlying filing to the merits of the case. *See* Civil L.R. 79-5(f)(3) (incorporating requirements of 79-5(c)(1)).
- There can be no dispute that the lesser "good cause" standard applies here, where Plaintiffs' Filings involve a discovery dispute that is at best only tangentially related to the merits of the case. *See Jones v. PGA Tour, Inc.*, No. 22-cv-04486-BLF, 2023 WL 5520771, at \*6 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 24, 2023) (applying the "good cause sealing standard" to "documents . . . related to discovery disputes," and noting that "discovery-related motions are [generally] reviewed under the good cause standard"); *see also Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1098 (9th Cir. 2016) ("Applying the good cause standard . . . as an exception for discovery-related motions makes sense, as the private interests of litigants are the only weights on the scale.") (citation and internal quotation marks omitted). In any event, even the stricter "compelling reasons" standard is met where the subject of the sealing request is a "source[] of business information," the disclosure of which "might harm a [non-party's] competitive standing." *See Ctr. for Auto Safety*, 809 F.3d at 1097 (internal quotation marks omitted) (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Comme 'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978)).
- 7. Activision Blizzard is a global developer and publisher, whose business is to develop, publish, and distribute high-quality interactive entertainment content and services and deliver engaging entertainment experiences to users worldwide. Maintaining the confidentiality of Activision's Blizzard's business strategy, deal terms, and non-public financial and performance metrics was—and continues to be—paramount to Activision Blizzard's ability to compete and success. Thus, Activision Blizzard has a legitimate interest in preserving the confidentiality of its information.

- 8. The Activision Blizzard information contained in Plaintiffs' Filings includes highly confidential business information of Activision Blizzard, the disclosure of which would result in serious competitive harm.
- 9. This information, in part, relates to specific terms of Activision Blizzard's partnership with Google and contains highly confidential information relating to Activision Blizzard's business strategy. I understand that the existence of Activision Blizzard's underlying partnership with Google has been made public during the course of this litigation, but Plaintiffs' Filings go beyond just the existence of the partnership, and include *specific details* about this partnership that are not—and should not be—public. In addition, the information includes Activision Blizzard's non-public financial metrics and performance data, the confidentiality of which was—and continues to be—integral to Activision Blizzard's competitiveness in the entertainment industry.
- 10. The representations in Plaintiffs' Filings thus contain highly confidential and competitively sensitive information relating to Activision Blizzard's business strategy, performance, and financials, which would result in serious competitive harm if disclosed. *See Epic Games, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, No. 4:20-cv-05640, 2021 WL 1925460, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 30, 2021) (finding "compelling reasons" for sealing "highly confidential information, including . . . business decision-making . . . belonging to [] a third-party non-party to th[e] action") (emphasis added); *Open Text S.A. v. Box, Inc.*, No. 13-cv-04910, 2014 WL 7368594, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 26, 2014) (sealing information "refer[ring] to [] confidential financial and revenue information, disclosure of which is likely to cause harm to [business] if known by competitors"); *United States v. Bazaarvoice, Inc.*, No. 13-cv-00133, 2014 WL 11297188, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 21, 2014) (granting sealing request for third-party documents where they "contain[ed] . . . competitive information that could cause damage to the third parties if made public").
- 11. This information was appropriately designated "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" in accordance with the operative protective order in this case. *See* ECF No. 249.
  - 12. The disclosure of Activision Blizzard's highly confidential information contained in

- 13. Preventing these competitive harms requires sealing of the Activision Blizzard information in Plaintiffs' Filings. There is no less restrictive alternative. Sealing is the only means of preserving the sanctity of Activision Blizzard's highly confidential, non-public, and competitively sensitive information. Absent sealing, Activision Blizzard competitors will undeservingly have access to this information.
- 14. The excerpts from Plaintiffs' Filings that Activision Blizzard hereby seeks to seal, with the reasons for each request, are set forth below:

Location of Reference in Plaintiffs' Filings	Reason for Sealing
Ex. 3 to the Declaration of Lauren A. Moskowitz (ECF No. 608-4), excerpts that Google counsel identified as containing Activision Blizzard's confidential information	Contains Activision Blizzard's highly confidential, non-public, and competitively sensitive financial information.
Ex. 11 to the Declaration of Lauren A. Moskowitz (ECF No. 608-12), excerpts that Google counsel identified as containing Activision Blizzard's confidential information	Contains Activision Blizzard's highly confidential, non-public, and competitively sensitive (i) strategic business information and (ii) financial information.
Ex. 15 to the Declaration of Lauren A. Moskowitz (ECF No. 608-16), excerpts	Contains Activision Blizzard's highly confidential, non-public, and competitively sensitive (i) strategic business

1	Location of Reference in Plaintiffs'   I Filings	Reason for Sealing
2	that Google counsel identified as i	nformation and (ii) financial information.
3	containing Activision Blizzard's confidential information	
4		Contains Activision Blizzard's highly confidential, non- public, and competitively sensitive (i) strategic business
5	that Google counsel identified as i	nformation, (ii) performance data, and (iii) financial
6 7	containing Activision Blizzard's i confidential information	information.
8	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and	
9	correct and that I executed this declaration on September 28, 2023 in	
10		
11		By: Page Robinson
12		Activision Blizzard, Inc.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>		
20		5